

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,597 08/16/2001		Jozef Reinier Cornelis Jansen	DVME-1015US	4150
21302 7590 06/08/2004			EXAMINER	
•	OSHIDA & DUNLEA	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
EIGHT PENN CENTER SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	L Ameliantian No.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
:	09/913,597	JANSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark W Bockelman	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	 action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The examiner notes that applicant references NL-B-1 005572 on page 2 of applicant's specification. The examiner requests that applicant provide a translated copy of the document for consideration in future office actions.

As the result of improper amendment format used by applicant in the international stage of this application, applicant's amended sheets 6, 7, 9, 10 and 11 submitted to the IB have NOT been entered and the following office action is based upon the PCT application as originally filed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 6 of the specification the applicant has "6=4 to 6=12". It is believed applicant meant "6" to be a "t". In addition applicant refers to areas such as "A" which is not identified in the figures, only a point a. Applicant should amend the specification to point out that the area "A" is the area defined by the points a,b,c,d if that is applicant's intention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5 and 6, the term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the term is part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). In other words, the claim language is unclear as to whether the claim scope is limited to the narrower "preferably" clause or whether the step following the term would be better served in a dependent claim that would further limit the scope of its independent claim.

Dependent claims 3-4, 7 fail to clarify the indefiniteness of claims 1 and 5 and thus are rejected as well under 112 second paragraph.

In addition, the examiner agrees with the international searcher's opinion that claims 1 and 5 are confusing since applicant is claiming that a first variation in a indicator value is measured prior to injection of the indicator and thus the claim is considered confusing when in the art indicators are sometime dyes and the like.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman USPN 5,797,398 in view of Jansen et al. USPN 4,595,015.

Art Unit: 3762

Bowman teaches the use of a catheter with sensors and a coil member 21 for heating blood passing through the heart so as to determine the cardiac output of the heart. The heat is applied to the blood for at least a period of one repiratory cycle and the change in heat in the blood serves as an "indicator value" in the blood stream. Sensors 19 and 20 measure temperature with sensor 20 measuring the temperature down stream of the heater application site. The patients respiration cycle and the variation in temperature due to each respiration cycle along with an average for many respiration cycles is determine as shown in process I, figure 3. The amount of heat applied to the blood is "established" and predetermined and used to determine the cardiac output (column 2 lines 47-67) along with the measured change in the heat measured at the second sensor. Cardiac output is determined based upon the difference in the change in average temperature with the heat applied (a.k.a. phase (on)) and the average temperature with the heater off (a.k.a.) with the latter determined just prior to the former. (see figure 4). Since Bowman teaches that the two average temperatures are measured over at least one respiratory cycle the computation of cardiac output would be the average indicator value change in temperature for one cycle minus the average change in the first variation (i.e. phase off) times n where n = 1.

With respect to claim 2, the examiner considers interprets the Bowman disclosure to include a second variation, that is a second temperature measurement of a second respiratory cycle (when two cycles for determining

Art Unit: 3762

phase (off) which first temperature and second temperature variations are averaged and used in the Bowman computation for multiple cycle sampling.

Applicant differs from Bowman in that Bowman uses a heating device that heats the blood rather than using the conventional injection of cooled (or heated) fluid which would have been an obvious substitution with Jansen et al cited as one of many conventional thermal dilution systems.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman USPN 5,797,198 in view of Jansen et al and further in view of Nikolic et al. The examiner refers applicant to the previous applied rejection for association of elements with Bowman and Jansen et al. Claim 5 adds two additional elements as compared to claim 1, namely a controller for injecting fluid, which is apparent in the conventional Jansen device and a second sensor for determining the respiratory cycle. While Bowman teaches the determination of the respiratory cycle he does not state specifically how he does so. It is believed that either a peak detector or separate sensor would be employed. The examiner would consider it obvious to include an additional sensor such as a blood pressure sensor as used in Nikolic et al (see figure 4 and associated text) for determining the respiratory cycle for the further computations of temperature variation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number

Art Unit: 3762

is (703)-308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-8:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

June 6, 2004

MARK BOCKELMAN